Corporate Financial Structure and export quality: Evidence from France

M. Bernini***, Sarah Guillou**, F. Bellone*

***University of Sheffield, **OFCE-SciencesPo Paris, *Université of Nice



20th International Panel Data Conference Hitotsubashi Hall, Tokyo, July 9-10 2014

2014 1 / 19

2014 2 / 19

3

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

• General question about the role of financial factors on firm's performance.

A D N A B N A B N A B N

- General question about the role of financial factors on firm's performance.
- Corporate financial structure is non neutral on Firm's value and performance.

- 4 回 ト 4 ヨ ト 4 ヨ ト

- General question about the role of financial factors on firm's performance.
- Corporate financial structure is non neutral on Firm's value and performance.
 - Corporate financial structure: how a firm decide to finance its activity (pecking order theory or trade-off theory)

• # • • = • • = •

- General question about the role of financial factors on firm's performance.
- Corporate financial structure is non neutral on Firm's value and performance.
 - Corporate financial structure: how a firm decide to finance its activity (pecking order theory or trade-off theory)
 - The paper focuses on banking debt = leverage

▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶

- General question about the role of financial factors on firm's performance.
- Corporate financial structure is non neutral on Firm's value and performance.
 - Corporate financial structure: how a firm decide to finance its activity (pecking order theory or trade-off theory)
 - The paper focuses on banking debt = leverage
 - We address the question of the impact of leverage on export quality.

▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶

- General question about the role of financial factors on firm's performance.
- Corporate financial structure is non neutral on Firm's value and performance.
 - Corporate financial structure: how a firm decide to finance its activity (pecking order theory or trade-off theory)
 - The paper focuses on banking debt = leverage
 - We address the question of the impact of leverage on export quality.
- Link with the "French" competitiveness debate

- General question about the role of financial factors on firm's performance.
- Corporate financial structure is non neutral on Firm's value and performance.
 - Corporate financial structure: how a firm decide to finance its activity (pecking order theory or trade-off theory)
 - The paper focuses on banking debt = leverage
 - We address the question of the impact of leverage on export quality.
- Link with the "French" competitiveness debate
 - Loss of competitiveness and market shares of French firms

▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶

- General question about the role of financial factors on firm's performance.
- Corporate financial structure is non neutral on Firm's value and performance.
 - Corporate financial structure: how a firm decide to finance its activity (pecking order theory or trade-off theory)
 - The paper focuses on banking debt = leverage
 - We address the question of the impact of leverage on export quality.
- Link with the "French" competitiveness debate
 - Loss of competitiveness and market shares of French firms
 - Insufficient or low quality is often judged to be the culprit

・ 何 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Does firm financial structure matter for export quality?

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

Does firm financial structure matter for export quality? Theoretical underpinning:

• Financial structure and investment patterns : Long and Malitz (1985)

▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶

Does firm financial structure matter for export quality? Theoretical underpinning:

• Financial structure and investment patterns : Long and Malitz (1985)

Under-investment (resulting from agency costs) is higher for high leverage firms and also for investment in intangibles assets.

- 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト

Does firm financial structure matter for export quality? Theoretical underpinning:

• Financial structure and investment patterns : Long and Malitz (1985)

Under-investment (resulting from agency costs) is higher for high leverage firms and also for investment in intangibles assets.

Maksimovic and Titman (1991)

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Does firm financial structure matter for export quality? Theoretical underpinning:

• Financial structure and investment patterns : Long and Malitz (1985)

Under-investment (resulting from agency costs) is higher for high leverage firms and also for investment in intangibles assets.

Maksimovic and Titman (1991)

High leverage decreases the present value of investment in quality.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Does firm financial structure matter for export quality? Theoretical underpinning:

• Financial structure and investment patterns : Long and Malitz (1985)

Under-investment (resulting from agency costs) is higher for high leverage firms and also for investment in intangibles assets.

Maksimovic and Titman (1991)

High leverage decreases the present value of investment in quality.

Leverage introduces cost and distorsions that is going to constraint investment level specifically in quality.

- 4 目 ト 4 日 ト

Does firm financial structure matter for export quality? Theoretical underpinning:

• Financial structure and investment patterns : Long and Malitz (1985)

Under-investment (resulting from agency costs) is higher for high leverage firms and also for investment in intangibles assets.

Maksimovic and Titman (1991)

High leverage decreases the present value of investment in quality.

Leverage introduces cost and distorsions that is going to constraint investment level specifically in quality.

 Financial structure as a result of the Trade-off theory: Modigliani & Miller, 1963; Kraus & Litzenberger, 1973

く 目 ト く ヨ ト く ヨ ト

Does firm financial structure matter for export quality? Theoretical underpinning:

• Financial structure and investment patterns : Long and Malitz (1985)

Under-investment (resulting from agency costs) is higher for high leverage firms and also for investment in intangibles assets.

Maksimovic and Titman (1991)

High leverage decreases the present value of investment in quality.

Leverage introduces cost and distorsions that is going to constraint investment level specifically in quality.

 Financial structure as a result of the Trade-off theory: Modigliani & Miller, 1963; Kraus & Litzenberger, 1973

Thanks to tax shield, leverage could be an efficient strategy.

白 医 不同 医 不良 医 不良 医二氏

3

<ロト <問ト < 目と < 目と

FC and firm export status / performance

3

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

FC and firm export status / performance

• FC and firm entry on foreign markets Greenaway et al. (2007); Bellone et al. (2010); Minetti and Zhu (2011); Chaney (2013)

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

FC and firm export status / performance

- FC and firm entry on foreign markets Greenaway et al. (2007); Bellone et al. (2010); Minetti and Zhu (2011); Chaney (2013)
- FC and firm survival on foreign markets Askenazy et al. (2011)

- 4 回 ト 4 ヨ ト 4 ヨ ト

FC and firm export status / performance

- FC and firm entry on foreign markets Greenaway et al. (2007); Bellone et al. (2010); Minetti and Zhu (2011); Chaney (2013)
- FC and firm survival on foreign markets Askenazy et al. (2011)

Closer contributions:

- 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト

FC and firm export status / performance

- FC and firm entry on foreign markets Greenaway et al. (2007); Bellone et al. (2010); Minetti and Zhu (2011); Chaney (2013)
- FC and firm survival on foreign markets Askenazy et al. (2011)

Closer contributions:

• FC and export prices Secchi et al. (2011)

▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶

FC and firm export status / performance

- FC and firm entry on foreign markets Greenaway et al. (2007); Bellone et al. (2010); Minetti and Zhu (2011); Chaney (2013)
- FC and firm survival on foreign markets Askenazy et al. (2011)

Closer contributions:

FC and export prices
 Secchi et al. (2011)
 Indicator of FC and unit values – FC is negatively correlated with quality.

▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶

FC and firm export status / performance

- FC and firm entry on foreign markets Greenaway et al. (2007); Bellone et al. (2010); Minetti and Zhu (2011); Chaney (2013)
- FC and firm survival on foreign markets Askenazy et al. (2011)

Closer contributions:

- FC and export prices
 Secchi et al. (2011)
 Indicator of FC and unit values FC is negatively correlated with quality.
- Credit rationing and quality Fan et al. (2012)

< 同 ト < 三 ト < 三 ト

FC and firm export status / performance

- FC and firm entry on foreign markets Greenaway et al. (2007); Bellone et al. (2010); Minetti and Zhu (2011); Chaney (2013)
- FC and firm survival on foreign markets Askenazy et al. (2011)

Closer contributions:

- FC and export prices
 Secchi et al. (2011)
 Indicator of FC and unit values FC is negatively correlated with quality.
- Credit rationing and quality Fan et al. (2012)
 Credit rationing at the regional-industry level; ambiguous results ; quality estimator but no IV and parameters are not at the HS6 level.

- 4 目 ト 4 日 ト

Our contribution

How does this paper differ from previous papers?

3

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

• Firms' leverage \neq financial constraints

3

(日)

• Firms' leverage \neq financial constraints

Leverage is an ambiguous measure of financial constraints – FC are unobservable in our data.

- 4 回 ト 4 ヨ ト 4 ヨ ト

• Firms' leverage \neq financial constraints

Leverage is an ambiguous measure of financial constraints – FC are unobservable in our data.

• Flow-level measure of quality instead of UV

▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶

• Firms' leverage \neq financial constraints

Leverage is an ambiguous measure of financial constraints – FC are unobservable in our data.

• Flow-level measure of quality instead of UV

The relationship between leverage and UV is ambiguous: Prices reflect differences in efficiency together with differences in quality. Leverage can then associated as bad efficiency and high price; as well as good efficiency and high price/quality.

A 回 > A 回 > A 回 >

• Firms' leverage \neq financial constraints

Leverage is an ambiguous measure of financial constraints – FC are unobservable in our data.

• Flow-level measure of quality instead of UV

The relationship between leverage and UV is ambiguous: Prices reflect differences in efficiency together with differences in quality. Leverage can then associated as bad efficiency and high price; as well as good efficiency and high price/quality.

A 回 > A 回 > A 回 >

Result

We show that high leverage impedes export firm to invest in quality when firms have liquidity constraints.

Result

We show that high leverage impedes export firm to invest in quality when firms have liquidity constraints.

• Coherent with trade empirical literature results.

Result

We show that high leverage impedes export firm to invest in quality when firms have liquidity constraints.

- Coherent with trade empirical literature results.
- Coherent with both trade-off theory and pecking order theory.

We combine information from three different databases:

- Fichier complet de Système Unifié de Statistique d'Entreprises (FICUS)
- French Customs data
- BACI data (CEPII)

We end up with a panel over 2 million of observations – Focus on 6 product categories 120,000 observations

A 回 > A 回 > A 回 >

We estimate the quality of each exported variety by following the methodology of Khandelwal (2010), based on the discrete choice model of demand developed by Berry (1994).

$$ln(s_{fpdt}) - ln(s_{odt}) = \alpha UV_{fpdt} + \sigma ln(ns_{fpdt}) + \delta_t + \delta_d + Q_{fpdt}$$

- 4 回 ト 4 ヨ ト 4 ヨ ト

We estimate the quality of each exported variety by following the methodology of Khandelwal (2010), based on the discrete choice model of demand developed by Berry (1994).

$$ln(s_{fpdt}) - ln(s_{odt}) = lpha UV_{fpdt} + \sigma ln(ns_{fpdt}) + \delta_t + \delta_d + Q_{fpdt}$$

•
$$s_{fpdt} = \frac{q_{fpdt}}{MKT_{(HS6)dt}}$$

• $MKT_{(HS6)dt} = \frac{\sum_{(HS6)dt} q_{fpdt}}{1-s_{odt}}$
• s_{odt} : computed from BACI
• $Q_{fpdt} \equiv \delta_{fpd} + \delta_{fpdt}$

・ ロ ト ・ 同 ト ・ 三 ト ・ 三 ト

We estimate the quality of each exported variety by following the methodology of Khandelwal (2010), based on the discrete choice model of demand developed by Berry (1994).

$$ln(s_{fpdt}) - ln(s_{odt}) = lpha UV_{fpdt} + \sigma ln(ns_{fpdt}) + \delta_t + \delta_d + Q_{fpdt}$$

•
$$s_{fpdt} = \frac{q_{fpdt}}{MKT_{(HS6)dt}}$$

• $ns_{fpdt} = \frac{q_{fpdt}}{MKT_{(CN8)dt}}$
• $MKT_{(HS6)dt} = \frac{\sum_{(HS6)dt} q_{fpdt}}{1 - s_{odt}}$
• s_{odt} : computed from BACI
• $Q_{fpdt} \equiv \delta_{fpd} + \delta_{fpdt}$

We estimate the demand model by IV-FE. And we use as instruments for UV_{fpdt} , the **average price** of French exporters of the same CN8 product in that market, the **physical productivity of labor** of firm f at time t, and as an instrument for ns_{fpdt} we use the **number of different CN8 products** exported by the same exporter to the same destination at time t.

We retain 6 HS6 product categories: consumer goods, large share and number of firms, statistical coherence.

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
	Chocolate and	Wine	Wine	Perfume and	Wooden	Lamps
	confectionery	(still)	(sparkling)	toilet waters	furniture	
α	-0.088***	-0.008**	-0.039***	-0.016***	-0.024***	-0.004**
	(0.01)	(0.00)	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.00)
σ	0.852***	0.913***	0.977***	0.548***	0.967***	0.747***
	(0.08)	(0.22)	(0.06)	(0.10)	(0.04)	(0.07)
Year FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Hansen j-test (p-value)	0.24	0.24	0.46	0.40	0.67	0.23
R^2	0.68	0.88	0.90	0.73	0.89	0.82
Obs.	8,971	10,809	13,079	28,187	14,833	4,984

- α is negative, σ is in the expected range [0,1].
- We do not reject the hypothesis that instruments are valid.
- From these regressions, we collect the quality estimate by fpd for each of the 6 product categories .

$$\hat{Q}_{fpdt} = c_{pdt} + \beta Lev_{ft} + Z'_{ft}\gamma + \eta_f + \eta_{ft} + \epsilon_{fpdt}$$

2014 10 / 19

$$\hat{Q}_{\textit{fpdt}} = c_{\textit{pdt}} + \beta \textit{Lev}_{\textit{ft}} + Z'_{\textit{ft}} \gamma + \eta_{\textit{f}} + \eta_{\textit{ft}} + \epsilon_{\textit{fpdt}}$$

 c_{pdt} captures shocks in demand affecting all firms exporting the CN8 product p to destination d in time t

<日

<</p>

$$\hat{Q}_{fpdt} = c_{pdt} + \beta Lev_{ft} + Z'_{ft}\gamma + \eta_f + \eta_{ft} + \epsilon_{fpdt}$$

- c_{pdt} captures shocks in demand affecting all firms exporting the CN8 product p to destination d in time t
- η_f and η_{ft} capture unobservable fixed and time-varying factors at the firm level

▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶

$$\hat{Q}_{fpdt} = c_{pdt} + \beta Lev_{ft} + Z'_{ft}\gamma + \eta_f + \eta_{ft} + \epsilon_{fpdt}$$

- c_{pdt} captures shocks in demand affecting all firms exporting the CN8 product p to destination d in time t
- η_f and η_{ft} capture unobservable fixed and time-varying factors at the firm level
- Z'_{ft} is a vector of observable firm-level controls

< 同 ト < 三 ト < 三 ト

$$\hat{Q}_{fpdt} = c_{pdt} + \beta Lev_{ft} + Z'_{ft}\gamma + \eta_f + \eta_{ft} + \epsilon_{fpdt}$$

- c_{pdt} captures shocks in demand affecting all firms exporting the CN8 product p to destination d in time t
- η_f and η_{ft} capture unobservable fixed and time-varying factors at the firm level
- Z'_{ft} is a vector of observable firm-level controls
- Lev_{ft} is the book value of firm's f debt over total assets at time t

• • = • • = •

$$\hat{Q}_{fpdt} = c_{pdt} + \beta Lev_{ft} + Z'_{ft}\gamma + \eta_f + \eta_{ft} + \epsilon_{fpdt}$$

- c_{pdt} captures shocks in demand affecting all firms exporting the CN8 product p to destination d in time t
- η_f and η_{ft} capture unobservable fixed and time-varying factors at the firm level
- Z'_{ft} is a vector of observable firm-level controls
- Lev_{ft} is the book value of firm's f debt over total assets at time t
- Three estimators: OLS, FE, IV-FE
- Liq_{ft} is obtained as the difference between firms' current resources and the costs of current operations over total assets. If $Liq_{ft} > 0$ the firm is able to finance internally current expenses (or at least substitute some debt financing for internal financing).

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

	P	ooled Sampl	e
	OLS	FE	IV-FE
Lev _{ft}	-0.131***	-0.066**	-0.188*
log(Intang) _{ft}	0.011***	0.004	0.058***
log(lprod) _{ft}	0.173***	0.050***	0.045***
log(empl) _{ft}	0.064***	0.111***	0.086***
Group _{ft}	-0.037***	0.024***	0.019
Foreign _{ft}	0.057***	-0.019	-0.030
$log(age)_{ft}$	-0.000	-0.160*	-0.198**
pd FE	У	n	n
hs6-t FE	У	У	У
fpd FE	n	У	У
Hansen (p)	-	-	0.818
R^2	0.597	0.005	0.003
Groups		15,654	6,956
Obs.	85,335	72,227	32,292

	P	ooled Samp	e		Liquidity>0)	Liquidity<0			
	OLS	FE	IV-FE	OLS	FE	IV-FE	OLS	FE	IV-FE	
Lev _{ft}	-0.131***	-0.066**	-0.188*	-0.029	-0.044	0.309*	-0.242***	-0.129***	-0.828***	
log(Intang) _{ft}	0.011***	0.004	0.058***	0.020***	0.013**	0.077***	-0.011***	-0.014*	0.011	
log(lprod) _{ft}	0.173***	0.050***	0.045***	0.174***	0.050***	0.026*	0.155***	0.026**	0.040***	
log(empl) _{ft}	0.064***	0.111***	0.086***	0.061***	0.104***	0.057**	0.073***	0.097***	0.130***	
Group _{ft}	-0.037***	0.024***	0.019	-0.056***	0.022*	0.024	-0.013	0.037***	0.003	
Foreign _{ft}	0.057***	-0.019	-0.030	0.030	-0.043*	-0.078**	0.109***	0.042**	0.104***	
log(age) _{ft}	-0.000	-0.160*	-0.198**	0.000	-0.172**	-0.230***	-0.000	-0.156	-0.206	
pd FE	У	n	n	у	n	n	У	n	n	
hs6-t FE	У	У	У	У	У	У	У	У	У	
fpd FE	n	У	У	n	У	У	n	У	У	
Hansen (p)	-	-	0.818	-	-	0.024	-	-	0.706	
R^2	0.597	0.005	0.003	0.577	0.004	0.002	0.647	0.003	-0.012	
Groups		15,654	6,956		10,146	4,581		7,354	3,255	
Obs.	85,335	72,227	32,292	52,001	41,274	19,154	33,334	25,821	10,945	

	Pooled Sample				Liquidity>0		Liquidity<0			
	OLS	FE	IV-FE	OLS	FE	IV-FE	OLS	FE	IV-FE	
Lev _{ft}	-0.131***	-0.066**	-0.188*	-0.029	-0.044	0.309*	-0.242***	-0.129***	-0.828***	
log(Intang) _{ft}	0.011***	0.004	0.058***	0.020***	0.013**	0.077***	-0.011***	-0.014*	0.011	
log(lprod) _{ft}	0.173***	0.050***	0.045***	0.174***	0.050***	0.026*	0.155***	0.026**	0.040***	
log(empl) _{ft}	0.064***	0.111***	0.086***	0.061***	0.104***	0.057**	0.073***	0.097***	0.130***	
Group _{ft}	-0.037***	0.024***	0.019	-0.056***	0.022*	0.024	-0.013	0.037***	0.003	
Foreign _{ft}	0.057***	-0.019	-0.030	0.030	-0.043*	-0.078**	0.109***	0.042**	0.104***	
log(age) _{ft}	-0.000	-0.160*	-0.198**	0.000	-0.172**	-0.230***	-0.000	-0.156	-0.206	
pd FE	У	n	n	у	n	n	У	n	n	
hsб-t FE	У	У	У	У	У	У	У	У	У	
fpd FE	n	У	У	n	У	У	n	У	У	
Hansen (p)	-	-	0.818	-	-	0.024	-	-	0.706	
R^2	0.597	0.005	0.003	0.577	0.004	0.002	0.647	0.003	-0.012	
Groups		15,654	6,956		10,146	4,581		7,354	3,255	
Obs.	85,335	72,227	32,292	52,001	41,274	19,154	33,334	25,821	10,945	

Conclusions

▶ ■ つへで 2014 14 / 19



• Firms' leverage is negatively associated with export quality.

Conclusions

- Firms' leverage is negatively associated with export quality.
- The negative impact of leverage on quality holds only for firms with insufficient liquidity to cover current expenses

Conclusions

- Firms' leverage is negatively associated with export quality.
- The negative impact of leverage on quality holds only for firms with insufficient liquidity to cover current expenses
- We conclude that higher levels of debt are detrimental to quality only for those firms for which debt is a remedy for insufficient liquidity (Pecking Order Theory). Firms for which higher debt is a choice are not affected (Trade-off Theory).

ヘロト 人間ト ヘヨト ヘヨト

References

- Askenazy, P., Caldera, A., Gaulier, G., and Irac, D. (2011). Financial constraints and foreign market entries or exits: Firm-level evidence from france. Technical report.
- Bellone, F., Musso, P., Nesta, L., and Schiavo, S. (2010). Financial constraints and firm export behaviour. The World Economy, 33(3):347–373.
- Berry, S. T. (1994). Estimating discrete-choice models of product differentiation. RAND Journal of Economics, 25(2):242-262.

Chaney, T. (2013). Liquidity constrained exporters. NBER Working Papers 19170, National Bureau of Economic Research, Inc.

- Fan, H., Lai, E. L.-C., and Li, Y. A. (2012). Credit constraints, quality, and export prices: Theory and evidence from china. MPRA Paper 40857, University Library of Munich, Germany.
- Greenaway, D., Guariglia, A., and Kneller, R. (2007). Financial factors and exporting decisions. Journal of International Economics, 73(2):377–395.
- Khandelwal, A. (2010). The long and short (of) quality ladders. Review of Economic Studies, 77(4):1450-1476.
- Long, M. S. and Malitz, I. B. (1985). Investment patterns and financial leverage. In Corporate Capital Structures in the United States, NBER Chapters, pages 325–352. National Bureau of Economic Research, Inc.
- Maksimovic, V. and Titman, S. (1991). Financial policy and reputation for product quality. Review of Financial Studies, 4(1):175–200.
- Minetti, R. and Zhu, S. C. (2011). Credit constraints and firm export: Microeconomic evidence from italy. Journal of International Economics, 83(2):109–125.
- Secchi, A., Tamagni, F., and Tomasi, C. (2011). Exporting under financial constraints: margins, switching dynamics and prices. LEM Papers Series 2011/24, Laboratory of Economics and Management (LEM), Sant'Anna School of Advanced Studies, Pisa, Italy.

▶ ■ つへで 2014 15 / 19

(日)

HS6	Obs.	Firms	Employees	Leverage	Liquidity	lprod	Intangibles	UV	Flows	Dest.
Choc. & conf	7893	456	203.24	0.20	0.05	3.83	0.12	13.35	5.33	3.67
Sparkling w.	14042	553	87.33	0.28	-0.01	4.27	0.06	10.15	11.68	8.10
Stll w.	16921	674	169.79	0.23	0.02	4.02	0.07	7.83	5.70	3.43
Perf.	48376	1114	234.74	0.18	0.02	4.04	0.18	33.41	13.54	10.89
Lamps	31562	3256	156.07	0.17	0.05	3.66	0.12	20.04	3.53	2.98
Wooden Furn.	7174	706	242.69	0.14	0.06	3.78	0.16	78.08	3.01	2.67

Appendix: Leverage and export price

		Pooled Sample	2		Liquidity>0			Liquidity<0			
(lr)2-4(lr)5-7(lr)8-10	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se		
Lev _{ft}	0.018	-0.070***	-0.247***	0.188***	-0.063*	-0.152	-0.167***	-0.053	-0.499**		
	(0.031)	(0.022)	(0.087)	(0.037)	(0.038)	(0.119)	(0.041)	(0.034)	(0.243)		
log(Intang) _{ft}	0.055***	0.019***	0.049***	0.062***	0.027***	0.089***	0.043***	0.008	-0.026		
	(0.002)	(0.003)	(0.011)	(0.003)	(0.004)	(0.014)	(0.003)	(0.006)	(0.024)		
log(lprod) _{ft}	-0.010	0.011**	0.005	-0.003	0.030***	0.014	-0.024***	-0.026***	-0.016*		
	(0.008)	(0.005)	(0.007)	(0.010)	(0.007)	(0.010)	(0.009)	(0.008)	(0.009)		
log(empl) _{ft}	-0.025**	0.004	-0.032**	-0.025**	0.052***	0.001	-0.023**	-0.065***	-0.087***		
	(0.011)	(0.008)	(0.013)	(0.012)	(0.011)	(0.020)	(0.009)	(0.014)	(0.025)		
Group _{ft}	-0.051***	0.001	-0.007	-0.087***	0.007	0.010	-0.002	-0.010	0.002		
	(0.018)	(0.008)	(0.012)	(0.021)	(0.010)	(0.016)	(0.021)	(0.013)	(0.023)		
Foreign _{ft}	0.024	-0.004	-0.022	-0.069***	-0.014	-0.066**	0.194***	-0.018	0.024		
	(0.023)	(0.012)	(0.018)	(0.026)	(0.016)	(0.028)	(0.027)	(0.018)	(0.034)		
log(age) _{ft}	0.004***	-0.026	-0.068	0.006***	-0.060	-0.053	0.002***	0.032	-0.050		
	(0.000)	(0.040)	(0.052)	(0.000)	(0.048)	(0.081)	(0.000)	(0.049)	(0.053)		
Constant	2.362***			2.321***			2.425***				
	(0.076)			(0.085)			(0.078)				
pd FE	у	n	n	у	n	n	у	n	n		
HS6-Year FE	У	У	У	У	У	У	У	У	У		
fpd FE	n	У	У	n	У	У	n	У	у		
Hansen (p)			0.640			0.189			0.872		
R^2	0.468	0.001	0.001	0.464	0.004	0.005	0.498	0.002	-0.008		
Groups		16,482	7,254		10,733	4,805		7,777	3,406		
Obs.	90,717	77,021	34,111	55,427	44,187	20,286	35,290	27,495	11,547		

Sarah Guillou (OFCE-SciencesPo Paris) 20th International Panel Data Conference

■ ● ■ のへで 2014 17 / 19

Appendix: Leverage and export price

	Constant	Q2	Q3	Q4	Obs.
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
Age	25.98***	2.600***	3.005***	3.364***	2,341,228
Employee	319.4***	81.34***	103.9***	173.1***	2,511,199
Assets	83184.5***	31714.6***	40966.7***	71770.9***	2,513,179
Cash Flow	0.108***	0,000343	0.00101***	0.00147***	2,263,998
Profit	0.0941***	0.00124***	0.00216***	0.00389***	2,267,352
Wage	27.78***	0.348***	0.991***	2.248***	2,485,756
Labor prod.	58.37***	1.657***	3.223***	6.212***	2,485,823
Invest. rate intangible	0.00607***	-0.000577***	-0.000224***	0.0000912**	2,275,653
Invest. rate tangible	0.0379***	-0.00210***	-0.00287***	-0.00296***	2,283,284
Leverage	0.166***	-0.00232***	-0.00266***	-0.00379***	2,290,526
Collateral	0.411***	-0.0136***	-0.0199***	-0.0286***	2,592,876
Intangible Assets	0.0571***	-0.000611***	0.000914***	0.00334***	2,290,468
Liquidity	0.0714***	-0.00310***	-0.00381***	-0.00433***	2,187,555

<ロト <問ト < 目と < 目と

Sarah Guillou (OFCE-SciencesPo Paris) 20th International Panel Data Conference

। 2014 19/19